

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/724,910	11/28/2000	Hugh J. Pasika	7414.0025	8658
75	90 01/15/2002			
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, L.L.P. 1300 I Street, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			MAHATAN, CHANNING	
Washington, DC 20005-3315			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1631	
			DATE MAILED: 01/15/2002	1)

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

`		Application No. Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Summary	09/724,910	1				
	Summary	Examiner	PASIKA ET AL.				
-	The MAILING DATE - CHI	Channing S. Mahatan	Art Unit				
	Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	1631				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABNDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)						
Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Status							
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	This action is FINAL.						
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is Disposition of Claims 2b)☑ This action is non-final. 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is Disposition of Claims							
Disposition of Claims Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application							
	Grant The above claim(s) is/are withdrawn (
	i v ——— iovare anoweg.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected							
1	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to						
	8) Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> are subject to restriction and/or elect						
App	Plication Papers	ion requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to but to							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/arc.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s).							
11	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
	If approved, corrected drawings are required approved by the Evamines						
The path of declaration is objected to but the E							
	35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forcing and						
	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents beaut						
	TOPIOS OF THE DIRECTION ACCOUNTS AND A TOPIOS OF THE PROPERTY						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
1405	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14)_	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for demost						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1.9(e) (to a provisional application).							
Additioned(s) 25 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121							
7) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pages No.(s). 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Pager No.(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Applications of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pager No.(s).							
O.S. Patent and PTO-326 (R	PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)						
	Office Action Summary						

Art Unit: 1631 Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/724,910

DETAILED ACTION

ART UNIT DESIGNATION

The Group and/or Art Unit designated for this application has changed. Applicant(s) are hereby informed that future correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Group Art Unit 1631.

OBJECTION BY DRAFTSMAN

Applicant(s) is (are) hereby notified that the required timing for correction of drawings has changed. See the last 6 lines on the sheet, which is attached, entitled "Attachment for PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01 or earlier)". Due to the above notification Applicant is required to submit drawing corrections with the time period set for responding to this Office action. Failure to respond to this requirement may result in abandonment of the instant application or a notice of a failure to fully respond to this Office action.

Restriction/Election

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:

- I. Claims 1-2, 6-13, 19-24, and 28-33, drawn to methods for making correct allele calls applying allele calling algorithms, classified in class 702, subclass 20. If this group is elected, then the below summarized specie election requirement also is required.
- Claims 3, 6, 14, 15, 25, and 28 drawn to methods for making correct allele calls in II. respect to a predefined complexity, classified in class 702, subclass 20.

Art Unit: 1631

III. Claims 4-6, 16-18, and 26-28, drawn to methods for making correct allele calls applying a set of filters to eliminate false allele peaks, classified in class 702, subclass 20.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

The inventions of Groups I-III each are directed to divergent subject matter which is distinctly different. It is acknowledged that Groups I-III are directed to methods of making correct allele calls utilizing nucleic acid information [Group I is also directed to amino acid information], however, the procedures to perform said calling are distinctly different. In Group I correct allele calls are determined by applying at least two different allele calling algorithms. In Group II correct allele calls are determined on the basis of the signal being below a predefined complexity. In Group III correct allele calls are determined through the filtering of the signal to eliminate peaks not representing alleles. Thus, the subject matter of each of the Groups is directed to differing procedures in a non-overlapping manner, albeit all related methods of allele calling.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

LINKING CLAIMS

Claims 6 and 28 link(s) inventions I, II, and III. The restriction requirement among the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claims 1, 3, 4, 23, 25, and 26. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the

Art Unit: 1631

limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also M.P.E.P. § 804.01.

SPECIE ELECTION REQUIREMENT APPLICABLE ONLY IF GROUP I IS ELECTED.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- A. nucleic acid, claims 7, 19, and 29
- B. amino acid, claims 7, 19, and 29

The above species are considered distinct because they are directed to different chemical types regarding the use in the method of allele calling, establishing a separate status in the art. Additionally, nucleic acids and amino acids have been most commonly, albeit not always, separately characterized and published in the Biochemical literature, thus significantly adding to the search burden if examined together, as compared to being searched separately. Therefore, the above species election is required.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 7, 8, 19, 20, 29, and 30 are generic.

Art Unit: 1631

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) of the other invention.

Examiner Information

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (See 37 C.F.R. § 1.6(d)). The CM1 Fax Center number is either (703) 308-4242 or (703) 305-3014.

Art Unit: 1631

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Channing S. Mahatan whose telephone number is (703) 308-2380. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael P. Woodward, Ph.D., can be reached on (703) 308-4028.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Patent Analyst, Pauline Farrier, whose telephone number is (703) 305-3550 or to the Technical Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Date: funny 10, 2002 Examiner Initials: CSM

Page 6